



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,501	02/25/2004	Yuichi Taguchi	16869B-084300US	4999	
20350 75	90 02/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND AN	DILLER, JES	DILLER, JESSE DAVID		
EIGHTH FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCIS	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2187	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/787,501	TAGUCHI, YUICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUNO DATE SALE	Jesse Diller	2187				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	lovember 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 25-30 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen		(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece					
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment in response to the office action dated 08/22/2005, which amendment was received 10/31/2005. At this point, claims 25-27 and 29-30 have been amended. Thus, claims 25-30 are currently pending in the application.

Objections to the disclosure

2. In response to amendment, the objection to the drawings is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 and 102

- 3. In response to amendment, the 35 USC § 112 rejection of claim 25 is withdrawn.
- 4. In response to amendment, the 35 USC § 102 rejections of claims 25-30 are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/2005 with respect to the 35 USC § 102 rejections of claims 25-30 by Vahalia have been fully considered, and are persuasive. Applicants point out that the LUN security function of Vahalia is physically located in the management computer (i.e., display and keyboard server 28, Fig. 9). The claims as amended physically place the LUN security function in the storage subsystem. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn. However, see the new rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2187

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vahalia, US 6,275,953 B1 in view of Yachida, US 5,802,591.
- 8. Vahalia discloses limitations of claim 25, including:
 - In a clustering system (Col. 12, lines 45-48) having primary and secondary host computers (data movers, Fig. 7; Col. 13, lines 5-12) coupled to a storage system (23, Fig. 7) and a management computer (28, Fig. 9), wherein each of a plurality of logical units in the storage system is configured so that a logical unit in the storage system can be accessed only by a predetermined host computer (See Fig. 7 and Col. 12, lines 36-45; LUNs 81-83 are accessible by designated host data movers 84-86), a method comprising:
 - storing access control information in the storage system for enabling a
 primary host computer to access a first logical unit; and
 - changing access control information in the storage system (See Figs. 10-13, esp. F.11, Data Mover Owner; Col. 13, lines 4-10) for enabling a secondary host computer to access the first logical unit based upon a request from the management computer (Figs. 22-23, esp. 245, F.23, where setting the network address enables access; See also Col. 23, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/787,501

Art Unit: 2187

26-37; the methods of F.22-23 are performed by the management computer); wherein

 the request from the management computer is issued when taking over, at the secondary host computer, processing of the primary host computer (Figs. 22-23).

Page 4

- 9. Vahalia does not expressly disclose that the LUN Security function is physically located in the storage subsystem.
- 10. However, Yachida discloses a system having a host computer (2, Fig. 1) that accesses a storage system (22, Fig. 1). In his system, there is a LUN security function, which is located in the storage system. See Fig. 2. The LUN security function is used in a manner similar to that of Vahalia and the instant application, namely to allow or disallow access requests to the data of the storage system based on permission data. The security function further receives a request for changing access control information to allow a formerly disallowed access and changes the access information so that subsequent requests would allow the access. See Fig. 2; also 57, Fig. 3, and Col. 4, lines 54-58, Col. 4, line 66 to Col. 5, line 5.
- 11. Vahalia and Yachida are analogous art because they are from the same area of endeavor, namely security for storage systems.
- 12. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Vahalia by placing the security function on the storage system instead of the data movers.

Art Unit: 2187

13. The motivation for doing so is taught by Yachida in Col. 1, lines 10-35, namely that if general access is accidentally granted by the host, the security of the system is compromised. (For example, if someone was able to log in to the host with or otherwise gain administrative privileges). Therefore, Yachida moves the security function to the storage system.

14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Vahalia with Yachida for the benefit of added security, to obtain the invention as specified in claim 25.

15. As for Claim 26, Vahalia additionally teaches:

 the request from the management computer is issued after the secondary host computer gains ownership of the first logical unit (244, Fig. 23 gains ownership;
 245 allows access).

16. As for Claims 27-28, Vahalia additionally teaches:

 the secondary host computer gains ownership of the first logical unit when detecting a change of condition in the primary host computer, wherein the condition comprises a fault condition (232-236, Fig. 22);

17. As for Claim 29, Vahalia teaches:

• In a storage system (23, Fig. 7) coupled to at least a primary host computer (91, Fig. 7) and a secondary host computer (92, Fig. 7) and a management computer (28, Fig. 9), the system including a LUN security function (see, for instance, 104, Fig. 9) therein so that a predetermined host computer of the plurality of host computers which include the primary host computer and the secondary host

Art Unit: 2187

computer can access at least one of a plurality of logical units (81-83, Fig. 7; Figs 10-13), a method comprising:

- o managing access control in the storage system so that the storage system permits access to the logical unit from a predetermined host computer and denies access to the logical unit from the secondary host computer (Col. 13, lines 4-12);
- and changing the access control so that the storage system permits
 access to the logical unit from the secondary host computer based upon a
 request from the management computer when the secondary host
 computer takes over processing of the primary host computer (Figs. 22 23).
- 18. Vahalia does not disclose that the LUN Security function is physically located in the storage subsystem, instead teaching that the LUN security function of is physically located in the management computer (i.e., display and keyboard server 28, Fig. 9).
- 19. However, Yachida discloses a system having a host computer (2, Fig. 1) that accesses a storage system (22, Fig. 1). In his system, there is a LUN security function, which is located in the storage system. See Fig. 2. The LUN security function is used in a manner similar to that of Vahalia and the instant application, namely to allow or disallow access requests to the data of the storage system based on permission data. The security function further receives a request for changing access control information to allow a formerly disallowed access and changes the access information so that

Art Unit: 2187

subsequent requests would allow the access. See Fig. 2; also 57, Fig. 3, and Col. 4, lines 54-58, Col. 4, line 66 to Col. 5, line 5.

- 20. Vahalia and Yachida are analogous art because they are from the same area of endeavor, namely security for storage systems.
- 21. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Vahalia by placing the security function on the storage system instead of the data movers.
- The motivation for doing so is taught by Yachida in Col. 1, lines 10-35, namely that if general access is accidentally granted by the host, the security of the system is compromised. (For example, if someone was able to log in to the host with or otherwise gain administrative privileges). Therefore, Yachida moves the security function to the storage system.
- 23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Vahalia with Yachida for the benefit of added security, to obtain the invention as specified in claim 29.

24. As for Claim 30, Vahalia additionally teaches:

changing the access control so that the storage system denies access to the logical unit from the primary host computer when the secondary host computer takes over processing of the primary host computer (See Col. 13, lines 4-12; the system uses exclusive ownership; however, at 233, 236, Fig. 22, the exclusive ownership is set to the secondary host, which will deny access attempts from the primary host).

Art Unit: 2187

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse Diller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JD

DONALD SPARKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER